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The pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) protein family consists of organellar proteins predicted to bind to specific RNA sequences. Plants have hundreds of distinct PPR proteins, whereas other eukaryotes generally have many fewer. The genome of the parasitic protozoon *Trypanosoma brucei* is predicted to encode more than 30 different PPR proteins, which is an extraordinarily high number for a nonplant organism. Here we report the characterization of *Trypanosoma brucei* PPR9 (TbPPR9). Epitope tagging shows that the protein is exclusively mitochondrially localized. Interestingly, while in induced RNA interference cell lines TbPPR9 is efficiently downregulated, the level of its mRNA is not affected. Ablation of TbPPR9 selectively abolishes oxidative but not mitochondrial substrate-level phosphorylation. The molecular basis of this phenotype is the fact that TbPPR9 is required for the stability of the cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 (COX1) and COX2 mRNAs. This is supported by the observation that ablation of TbPPR9 destabilizes the COX complex but not the cytochrome *bc*$_1$ or the ATP synthase complex. Moreover, it was shown by blue native gel electrophoresis that TbPPR9 is present in a large complex of unknown composition.
chondrial rRNAs is not the only function of trypanosomal PPR proteins. Ablation of one of the PPR proteins included in the experimental analyses discussed above, TbPPR1, caused a specific but moderate reduction of the level of COX1 mRNA (25, 30). Moreover, a more recent study showed that a heterodimer of two trypanosomal PPR proteins, one of which is identical to the TbPPR1 mentioned above, stimulates mRNA polyadenylation and uridylation catalyzed by poly(A) polymerase and the terminal uridylyltransferase (2). This indicates that some PPR proteins might have a more general function that is not transcript specific.

RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated ablation of most trypanosomal PPR proteins causes degradation of few specific mitochondrial RNA species (25, 30). This is in line with the postulated role of PPR proteins as sequence-specific RNA-binding proteins and suggests that the global function of the PPR protein family—mediating organelar gene expression—is conserved in trypanosomes.

Here we report the experimental characterization of trypanosomal PPR protein, which is not associated with the mitochondrial ribosome and does not appear to be a component of the mitochondrial polyadenylation or genomic RNA (gRNA)-binding complex. The protein selectively stabilizes COX1 and COX2 mRNAs and therefore is essential for oxidative phosphorylation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Epitope tagging. To localize TbPPR9, we produced two transgenic cell lines expressing a protein variant containing either the carboxy-terminal Ty1 tag or the hemagglutinin (HA) tag. Both tags are routinely used in T. brucei. The Ty1 tag is detected by the monoclonal BB2 antibody, and the HA tag is visualized by the monoclonal antibody HA11. The Ty1-tagged variant of the TbPPR9 gene was cloned into a derivative of plasmid pLew100 that allows tetracycline-inducible overexpression of the tagged protein (44), whereas the variant containing the C-terminal HA epitope was produced by in situ tagging (36).

Production and analysis of TbPPR9 RNAi cell line. RNAi was performed using stem-loop constructs containing the puromycin resistance gene as described previously (4). As an insert, we used a 505-bp fragment (nucleotides 273 to 777) of the open reading frame (ORF) Tb11.01.7930. Transfection of T. brucei, selection with antibiotics, cloning, and induction with tetracycline were done as described previously (24). All transgenic cell lines used in this study are based on T. brucei strain 29-13, which allows tetracycline-inducible overexpression of the tagged protein (44), whereas the variant containing the C-terminal HA epitope was produced by in situ tagging (36).

Northern blots of mitochondrial mRNAs. Total RNA (5 μg each) was separated on 1% (wt/vol) agarose gels containing 0.2 M formaldehyde and electrophoresed in 20 mM morpholinepropanesulfonic acid, pH 7.0, and 0.2 M formaldehyde. After transfer, GeneScreen Plus membranes were UV cross-linked. As probes, we used random hexamer-[α-32P]dCTP-labeled double-stranded DNA fragments. Two DNA fragments, corresponding to nucleotides 273 to 777 (corresponding to the region targeted by RNAi) and 1038 to 1437 (corresponding to a region that was not targeted by RNAi) of the ORF Tb11.01.7930, were used to detect the mRNA of TbPPR9.

The fragments used to detect mitochondrial mRNAs have been described before (30). The 9S and 12S rRNAs were detected by specific oligonucleotide hybridization (30).

Reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) was done using the ImProm-II reverse transcription system (Promega). The following primers were used to amplify the cDNA corresponding to the fully edited COX3 mRNA: 5'-GATTGGTTTGTGATTTTTACG-3' (forward) and 5'-CATAACA CATAAAAACCATCAAATTAC-3' (reverse).

Blue native gel electrophoresis. Mitochondria were isolated under hypotonic conditions and resuspended in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.25 M sucrose, 2 mM EDTA (33). Fifty micrograms of mitochondria was solubilized with 1% digitonin in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 50 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% [vol/vol] glycerol), loaded on a 4 to 13% native polyacrylamide gel, and subjected to blue native gel electrophoresis (39). HA-tagged PPR proteins were detected by immunoblotting. T. brucei MRPL21 (TbMRPL21; Tb927.7.4140), a mitochondrial ribosomal protein of the large subunit containing three copies of the c-myc tag at its C terminus, was used as a marker for the ribosome.

Miscellaneous. Polyclonal rabbit antisera of trypanosomal voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC), COX4, and cytochrome c1 (CYTC1) were prepared using the carboxy-terminal peptides of the proteins as antigens. The trypanosomal F1β subunit of the ATP synthase complex was detected using a polyclonal antisera directed against the ATP synthase of Crithidia fasciculata (generous gift of D. Speijer, AMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands). α-Ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (KDH) of trypanosomes was detected using a polyclonal antisera raised against yeast KDH. Monoclonal antibodies against elongation factor 1a (eEF-1a) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The monoclonal BB2 antibody recognizing the Tb11.01.7930 epitope was from Diagenode, Belgium. All antibodies were used at 1:1,000 dilutions in immunoblots. Immunofluorescence was done as described previously (34).

All RNA isolations were done by the acid guanidinium method (6). ATP production assays using digitonin-purified mitochondria from RNAi cell lines were done as described previously (4). Subfractionation of mitochondria and carbonate extraction of mitochondrial membranes were done as described previously (30).

RESULTS

A novel trypanosomal PPR protein. In a previous study, we presented a list of 28 predicted trypanosomal PPR proteins (30). This list was obtained by screening the T. brucei proteome for PPR motifs using TPRpred, an algorithm that detects both TPR and PPR motifs (15). In this early study, a TPRpred probability of less than 25% was considered not significant and proteins below that threshold were removed from the list of putative PPR proteins. However, it cannot be excluded that some of these proteins might nevertheless be bona fide PPR proteins. The present study focuses on one such example, the protein encoded by ORF Tb11.01.7930, which has a TPRpred probability of 2.3% only. Interestingly, the same protein had been identified before in the list of trypanosomal PPR proteins provided by Mingler et al. (25) that is based on BLAST searches using HMMER package-derived consensus sequences. However, in agreement with the low TPR probability found in our study, the BLAST score for the protein was low and the E value was high. In the present study, we show that the protein encoded by Tb11.01.7930 has all the features expected for a PPR protein, which is why we termed it TbPPR9.

TbPPR9 is conserved within trypanosomatids, and a global transcriptome analysis suggests that in T. brucei mainly a gene-internal ATG (position 124 in the nucleotide sequence) is used as a start codon, in which case the protein would have a molecular mass of 63.5 kDa (27, 37). Outside the putative PPR repeats, the protein does not show any homology to any proteins outside the trypanosomatid lineage.

TbPPR9 is mitochondrially localized. Bioinformatic analysis predicts that TbPPR9 has no mitochondrial targeting signal. However, in T. brucei such predictions are difficult since mitochondrial presequences are often very short (11). Thus, in order to
ATPase staining is also shown. Immunoblot analysis of 0.3 × 10⁶ cell equivalents each of total cellular (TOT), crude cytosolic (CYT), and crude mitochondrial extracts (MIT) for the presence of the Ty1-tagged TbPPR9 protein (BB2). Only the relevant regions of the blots are shown. Comparison with molecular mass markers showed that the sizes of the tagged proteins were consistent with the prediction. eEF-1α served as a cytosolic marker (middle), and KDH was used as a mitochondrial marker (bottom). (B) Double immunofluorescence analysis of a T. brucei cell line expressing the HA tag. The cells were double stained with monoclonal anti-tag antibody and a polyclonal antiserum directed against a subunit of the mitochondrial ATPase. A merged picture of the anti-tag antibody and the ATPase staining is also shown.

To determine the localization of the protein, we prepared transgenic cell lines allowing expression of variants of TbPPR9 that carry the Ty1 peptide or the hemagglutinin (HA) tag at their carboxy termini (3). The transgenic cell line expressing the Ty1-tagged TbPPR9 was then subjected to a biochemical fractionation. Digitonin extractions (40) showed that essentially all of the tagged TbPPR9 is recovered in the pellet together with the mitochondrial marker (Fig. 1A). This was confirmed by immunofluorescence analysis using the cell line expressing the HA-tagged TbPPR9. The results in Fig. 1B also show that in this experiment the HA-tagged TbPPR9 colocalizes with the mitochondrial marker. In summary, these results show that TbPPR9 can be detected only in the mitochondrion.

RNAi ablates tagged TbPPR9 but not the corresponding mRNA. To study the function of TbPPR9, we established a stable transgenic cell line that allows inducible RNAi-mediated ablation of the protein. Figure 2A shows that ablation of TbPPR9 caused a slow-growth phenotype in SDM-79 standard medium (5) starting 3 to 4 days after induction. However, even after longer induction times, the cells kept growing, albeit at a much reduced rate. To assess the efficiency of RNAi, we determined the level of the TbPPR9 mRNA in both uninduced and induced cells. However, the Northern blot in Fig. 2B show that the level of the TbPPR9 mRNA is not affected even after prolonged induction times, a result unlike the one expected. The probe used in this analysis corresponded to the sequence that was targeted by the RNAi construct. In order to verify this unexpected result, we repeated the Northern analysis using a second probe that does not overlap with the first one and whose sequence is not targeted by the RNAis, and we obtained the same result. However, the fact that we reproducibly observe a slow-growth phenotype after addition of tetracycline suggests that TbPPR9 becomes downregulated in the RNAi cells, even though the levels of the TbPPR9 mRNA remain unchanged.

To test this directly, we performed the RNAi in cells expressing the tetracycline-inducible tagged version of TbPPR9 that was used for the localization studies. In these cells, addition of tetracycline induces not only expression of the RNAi-mediating stem-loop RNA but also expression of the Ty1-tagged TbPPR9. Immunoblot analysis of protein extracts from these cells shows that the level of the tagged TbPPR9 declines after 3 days of induction and that after 5 days it is hardly detectable anymore (Fig. 2C). However, the mitochondrial marker α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, which serves as a loading control, is not affected. Northern blots confirmed that in this cell line, as in the original RNAi cell line, the levels of the endogenously expressed TbPPR9 mRNA do not decrease upon induction of RNAi. Interestingly, however, in the case of the ectopically expressed TbPPR9 mRNA, a slight reduction of 25% is observed (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

These results show that while the levels of the TbPPR9 mRNA...
are not affected in induced TbPPR9 RNAi cell lines, the protein nevertheless gets downregulated, suggesting that expression of the stem-loop RNA somehow interferes with the translation of the TbPPR9 mRNA. A similar case has previously been described for an RNAi cell line directed against T. brucei CPSF30 (TbCPSF30), a trypanosomal zinc finger protein involved in nuclear RNA processing (13). However, in contrast to TbPPR9, where the same levels of mRNAs were found in uninduced and induced cells, induction of RNAi for TbCPSF30 resulted in an accumulation of a large amount of an RNA variant that was slightly longer than TbCPSF30 mRNA.

In summary, these results show that RNAi is a suitable method to study the function of TbPPR9. The mechanism that is responsible for the selective protein but not mRNA knockdown of TbPPR9 is of high interest but beyond the scope of the present study.

**TbPPR9 is required for efficient oxidative phosphorylation.**

Ablation of TbPPR9 causes a slow-growth phenotype in SDM-79 medium, which contains proline and glucose as the major energy sources. When tested in SDM-80, a modified version of SDM-79 that lacks glucose (18), we obtained a growth phenotype stronger than the slow-growth phenotype observed in SDM-79, and TbPPR9-ablated cells abruptly stopped growing after 7 days and died thereafter (Fig. 3A). Thus, TbPPR9 is essential for survival in SDM-80. The fact that the onset of the growth phenotype occurs later in the glucose-free SDM-80 than in SDM-79 can be explained by the much longer generation time that is observed in the former. In procyclic T. brucei, glucose—after conversion into pyruvate—is used for mitochondrial substrate-level phosphorylation (SUBPHOS) in the trypanosome-specific acetate:succinate coenzyme A (CoA) transferase/succinyl-CoA synthetase (ASCTS) cycle (31). When grown in SDM-79, where glucose is available, the energy needs of T. brucei can largely be met by substrate-level phosphorylation alone (4, 18). In the glucose-free SDM-80, however, the sole energy source is proline, which can be utilized only by oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) (18). Growth in SDM-80 therefore selects for cells capable of performing efficient OXPHOS. All mitochondrial gene products of T. brucei either function in OXPHOS or are components of the mitochondrial translation machinery whose function is to produce components of the OXPHOS complexes. The lack of growth of TbPPR9-ablated cells in SDM-80 lacking glucose is therefore in line with the proposed function of PPR proteins in mediating mitochondrial gene expression.

We have recently established an assay that allows the quantification of the different modes of ATP production in isolated mitochondria of T. brucei (4). Using this assay, it was possible to test whether the lack of growth of induced TbPPR9 RNAi cell lines on glucose-free SDM-80 is indeed due to deficient OXPHOS. To measure OXPHOS, mitochondria are incubated with ADP and the substrate succinate. To measure SUBPHOS in the citric acid cycle, α-ketoglutarate is used as a substrate, whereas measuring SUBPHOS in the ASCTS cycle requires the addition of pyruvate as well as the cosubstrate succinate (4). Atractyloside prevents mitochondrial import of the added ADP and thus inhibits all forms of mitochondrial ATP production. OXPHOS, in contrast to either form of SUBPHOS, is antimycin sensitive. Figure 3B shows that ablation of TbPPR9 selectively abolishes OXPHOS (induced by succinate) but does not interfere with either of the two forms of SUBPHOS (induced by α-ketoglutarate and pyruvate, respectively). These results are consistent with the strong growth phenotype that is observed in the induced RNAi cell line in the absence of glucose and indicate that TbPPR9 is ultimately required for OXPHOS.

**Ablation of TbPPR9 causes a selective decline of COX1 and COX2 mRNAs.** In order to investigate the molecular basis leading to the loss of OXPHOS in the absence of TbPPR9, we isolated total RNA from the uninduced and induced TbPPR9 RNAi cell line at various times after induction. Subsequently, Northern hybridization was used to determine the steady-state levels of RNAs encoded in the mitochondrion. Blots were probed for 9S and 12S rRNA and for the transcripts of the COX1, COX2, cytochrome b (CYTB), ribosomal protein 12 (RPS12), and ATPase subunit 6 (A6) genes. COX2 and CYTB transcripts are edited in a small domain only. The hybridization probes therefore detected both edited and unedited RNAs. RPS12 and A6 transcripts, on the other hand, are extensively edited. Thus, in the case of RPS12, two probes were used: one that detects unedited and minimally edited RNAs (RPS12 uned.) and another one that recognizes extensively
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and fully edited molecules (RPS12 ed.). For A6, only the unedited transcript (A6 uned.) was analyzed. The results are shown in Fig. 4. Only the relevant portions of the Northern blots are shown. No accumulation of precursor RNAs was seen for any of the tested RNA species, indicating that PPR9 is unlikely to be involved in RNA processing. However, ablation of TbPPR9 did selectively reduce the levels of COX1 and COX2 mRNAs. The levels of all other tested RNAs were either not affected (12S rRNA and CYTB), slightly decreased at late time points after induction (9S rRNA), or slightly increased (A6 uned., RPS12 ed., and RPS12 uned.). The decrease of COX1 and COX2 mRNAs is already evident 48 h after induction, well before the slow-growth phenotype of the cells becomes apparent, suggesting that it is a direct effect of the lack of TbPPR9.

Many mitochondrial mRNAs exist in two populations having poly(A) tails of different lengths. Two PPR proteins are known to be directly involved in the polyadenylation of mitochondrial mRNAs (2). However, it has also been shown that ablation of many other trypanosomal PPR proteins caused a global effect on polyadenylation due to ATP depletion (see Fig. 5 in reference 30). The analysis of the TbPPR9 RNAi cell line shown in Fig. 4 does not have the resolution required to precisely monitor the fate of the short and the long poly(A) tails. Thus, while it appears that the decrease of the COX1 and COX2 mRNAs is preceded by a loss of the long poly(A) tail, it is much less clear whether the poly(A) tails of mRNAs whose levels remain stable in the induced TbPPR9 RNAi cell line are also affected.

Besides COX1 and COX2, the mitochondrial DNA of T. brucei also encodes COX3. The final COX3 mRNA is extensively edited. In order to quantify the levels of the edited COX3 mRNAs, we therefore relied on RT-PCR using primers that are specific for the edited form. The results in Fig. 5 show that the same levels of COX3 cDNA are amplified in uninduced cell lines and in cell lines induced for 2 and 5 days, irrespective of whether the PCR was done for 15, 25, or 35 cycles.

In summary these results show that TbPPR9 is essential for the stabilization of COX1 and COX2 mRNAs, whereas the stability of all other tested RNAs, including the edited COX3 mRNA, does not depend on the presence of TbPPR9.

Ablation of TbPPR9 selectively destabilizes the COX complex. Ablation of TbPPR9 selectively destabilizes the COX1 and COX2 mRNAs (Fig. 4). We would therefore expect that the observed loss of OXPHOS (Fig. 3) is due to a deficient COX complex. In order to investigate this question, we determined how the levels of marker proteins for the different respiratory complexes are affected during TbPPR9 RNAi. The immunoblot in Fig. 6 shows that the ablation of TbPPR9 is paralleled by a reduction in the levels of COX1 and COX2.
of COX4, a subunit of the COX complex encoded in the nucleus. These results are consistent with the idea that due to the decline of the COX1 and COX2 mRNAs and their corresponding gene products, the entire COX complex becomes destabilized. This may in turn prevent assembly of subunits such as COX4 encoded in the nucleus and may ultimately lead to their degradation. The levels of cytochrome c1, a component of the cytochrome bc1 complex, and the F1β subunit of the ATP synthase complex, in contrast, are not affected, suggesting that the other respiratory complexes remain intact in the absence of TbPPR9. In summary, these results support the proposed function of TbPPR9 in stabilizing COX1 and COX2 mRNAs.

TbPPR9 is part of a large complex. Essentially all trypanosomal PPR proteins are predicted to be soluble. However, in a previous analysis we showed that among 8 analyzed trypanosomal PPR proteins, only one behaved like a soluble matrix protein. All the other ones were peripherally associated with the mitochondrial inner membrane, probably via membrane-bound ribosomes (30). Freeze-thaw cycles and subsequent centrifugation allow subfractionation of mitochondria into a matrix and a membrane fraction. In such an analysis, TbPPR9 essentially behaves like a soluble protein. However, a small fraction of the protein appears to be membrane associated and thus might be associated with the mitochondrial ribosomes (Fig. 7A). In order to see whether it is associated with a protein complex, we subjected mitochondrial extracts containing tagged TbPPR9 to blue native gel electrophoresis. Figure 7B shows that the tagged TbPPR9 migrates as a smear indicative of a large complex with a molecular mass of between 440 and 800 kDa. The mitochondrial ribosomes can also be resolved by blue native gels, as evidenced by the high-molecular-mass band that contains a tagged large-subunit ribosomal protein. Moreover, as expected, the ribosomal complex also contains tagged TbPPR2, TbPPR3, and TbPPR5, whose ablation, as previously shown, destabilizes the mitochondrial rRNAs. Thus, TbPPR9 comigrates with a large protein complex that is distinct from the mitochondrial ribosomes.

Moreover, TbPPR9 was not found to be associated with the polyadenylation complex whose composition was recently determined (8) and which contains a number of other PPR proteins (2, 25, 30).

To test whether the COX1 and COX2 mRNAs cofractionate...
with the TbPPR9-containing complex, we performed immunoprecipitations of the tagged protein. Tagged TbPPR9 was efficiently recovered in the pellet fraction in such experiments, and Northern blots showed that the pellet also contained COX1 and COX2 mRNAs. However, a similar percentage of CYTB mRNA that is not affected by TbPPR9 RNAi was also recovered in the same fraction (data not shown). It is therefore presently not possible to decide whether the complex contains more mRNAs than the ones for COX1 and COX2 or whether the associated mRNAs simply reflect unspecific binding.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we present the characterization of TbPPR9, which was detected in two independent genomic screens for PPR proteins but obtained low scores in both analyses (25). We now show that the protein has an exclusive mitochondrial localization and that its ablation causes a decrease of COX1 and COX2 mRNAs encoded in the mitochondrion but not of any other tested RNA species. These results strongly suggest that TbPPR9 is a bona fide PPR protein. The fact that the protein alone could not be unambiguously identified by bioinformatic analysis illustrates the difficulty of recognition of members of the PPR protein family and indicates that the 28 predicted trypanosomal PPR proteins represent an underestimation (30). A recent characterization of PPR proteins required for polyadenylation reached the same conclusion (2). Moreover, using more sophisticated bioinformatic protocols, the set of known PPR proteins has also been expanded in other organisms, such as Saccharomyces cerevisiae (19) and Schizosaccharomyces pombe (17). Thus, it is likely that mammals also have a higher number of PPR proteins than is anticipated right now.

As in other organisms, the trypanosomal COX complex has a highly complicated structure. It is a mosaic of 3 subunits encoded in the mitochondrion (COX1 to COX3) and at least 10 subunits encoded in the nucleus (23). Moreover, in *T. brucei* the COX complex is developmentally regulated. It is active in the procyclic form of *T. brucei*, which produces much of its ATP by OXPHOS, but is absent from the bloodstream form, which produces ATP by glycolysis only (28, 42). Thus, *T. brucei* requires not only sophisticated pathways that coordinate the levels of the subunits produced in the nucleus and mitochondrion but also mechanisms that mediate the stage-specific regulation of the COX complex during the life cycle.

The TbPPR9 mRNA is present in comparable amounts in procyclic and bloodstream forms. However, consistent with its role in COX complex biogenesis, a transient approximately 2-fold increase of the TbPPR9 mRNA is observed during differentiation from the stumpy bloodstream form to the procyclic form (14). How much of the protein is present and whether it is essential in the bloodstream form are unknown.

In *T. brucei*, transcription of both nuclear and mitochondrial protein-coding genes is polycistronic. Thus, their expression is primarily controlled on a posttranscriptional level. The fact that ablation of TbPPR9 selectively reduces the level of COX1 and of both edited and unedited COX2 mRNAs indicates that it plays a role in the stabilization of these two mRNAs.

This is reminiscent of the yeast PPR protein Pet309, which also stabilizes COX mRNAs encoded in the mitochondrion. Pet309 in addition functions as a translational activator (7, 20). Whether this might also be the case for TbPPR9 is unknown at present, but the possibility cannot be excluded. However, despite these functional similarities, the two proteins are not orthologues and the sequence similarity between them is not higher than expected for two PPR proteins from different species.

PPR proteins are predicted to bind to specific RNA sequences. It is therefore likely that TbPPR9 exerts its function by direct binding to the corresponding RNAs. As it is the case for many PPR proteins, we were not able to obtain soluble recombinant TbPPR9, which prevented us from directly assessing its RNA-binding capability. However, the fact that the protein was recovered in a large complex that among other RNAs contained the COX1 and COX2 mRNAs is consistent with the idea that it binds to these two RNAs, although unspecific binding cannot be excluded. It has been shown in plants that a single PPR protein can bind to multiple targets by recognizing a degenerate consensus sequence defined by conserved pyrimidines and purines as well as a few invariant nucleotides (10). However, in the case of TbPPR9 the length of the putative target sequence is unknown, which makes the bioinformatic identification of putative TbPPR9 binding sites essentially impossible.

Other mitochondrial proteins of *T. brucei* whose ablation influences the levels of the COX mRNAs have been described. The best-studied ones are MRPL1/2, which build a heterotetrameric complex that can promote annealing of complementary RNAs (1, 35), and RBP16, an RNA-binding protein of the Y-box family (12). Both proteins are essential in procyclic *T. brucei*. Ablation of either of the two proteins causes the same phenotype: it interferes with editing of the CYTB transcripts and causes the loss of the ND4 and COX1 mRNAs (9). Thus, MRPL1/2 and RBP16 are ultimately required for the biogenesis of three respiratory complexes, whereas the function of TbPPR9, from all that we know, is limited to the stabilization of two of the three mRNAs that encode components of the COX complex.

To date, 10 different mitochondrially localized trypanosomal PPR proteins have been studied experimentally in some detail. The function of 6 of these 10 could be linked to the rRNAs.
Two, TbPPR1 (25, 30) and TbPPR9 (the focus of the present study), are required for the stabilization of transcripts encoding subunits of the COX complex. Two trypanosomal PPR proteins (one of which is identical to TbPPR1) were found in the mitochondrial polyadenylation complex (8) and shown to be required for the regulation of polyadenylation.

TbPPR9 RNAi cell lines show pronounced and very early reduction of COX1 and COX2 mRNAs, indicating that the protein is directly involved in the stabilization of the two mRNAs. The COX3 mRNA, however, was not affected. Its level must therefore be regulated by other factors. The COX3 transcript needs to be extensively edited. It is therefore possible that the abundance of its mRNA is regulated on the level of RNA editing.

In summary, it appears that one of the main functions of the trypanosomal PPR proteins that have been analyzed experimentally is the stabilization of small sets of functionally related RNAs. However, the function of more than two-thirds of all identified trypanosomal PPR proteins has not been elucidated yet. Interestingly, some of them were found to be associated with large protein complexes that are involved in mitochondrial RNA processing. Thus, 5 trypanosomatid PPR proteins, including the previously characterized TbPPR1, were recovered in a large complex involved in polyadenylation of mitochondrial mRNAs (8). A subset of the same PPR proteins was also found in a RNA-binding complex that is required for RNA editing (43), as well as in a complex that is required for the stability of edited mRNA (43). Thus, many of the same PPR proteins appear to be associated with multiple RNA-processing complexes (16). Blue native gel electrophoresis shows that TbPPR9 is also associated with a large complex of unknown composition and function that appears to be distinct from the ones described above.

Ablation of the complexes mentioned above, in line with their proposed function, generally affects many different RNAs. Ablation of PPR proteins, on the other hand, would be expected for proteins that bind specific RNA sequences, often affects specific transcripts only. The stable association of PPR proteins with these general RNA-processing complexes therefore appears to be counterintuitive. An attractive idea in line with the fact that some of the same PPR proteins are found in different complexes would be that they function as adaptors for functional integration of specific transcripts into the corresponding RNA-processing complexes. Thus, we would expect that many of the trypanosomal PPR proteins that have not yet been studied experimentally might also be found in large complexes devoted to general RNA-processing steps. However, despite their association with these complexes, we expect their function to be specific for single or small groups of mitochondrial transcripts. Further work will show to what extent these predictions can be confirmed.
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